

If for argument's sake we accept that the Bible is indeed the product of hundreds of eyewitnesses, then the following major discrepancies emerge:

1) No amount of human evidence can be construed as Divine and Godly. It is our belief that apart from The Messengers of God, every human being is fallible and prone to error. Prophets and Messengers are infallible. hence their testimony is Divine and Pristine Pure. It is an established fact that the Bible was compiled by a number of human authors. While Christians might subscribe to the idea that these authors were divinely inspired, and that might even be the case, there is still the element of human error that pervades the issue. As stated earlier, besides God's Messengers no mortal is impeachable or totally free of error. At this juncture, we have to differentiate between revelation and inspiration. Surely, no Christian or Jew believes that Mathew or Luke or Mark was a Prophet of God or a Messenger on the level of Moses, Abraham, Solomon, etc. These men may have been saintly and pious individuals. but were not Prophets whose words were infallible. There is, therefore a clear distinction between the inspiration of such pious people and the revelation that came to Messengers via the Arch Angel Gabriel. It is precisely for this reason that we Muslims pin the authenticity of the Quran (as assumed in this argument) to the evidence of one man, and that is God's Final Messenger to the World. Muhammad bin Abdullah. Him being a Prophet and Messenger of God, his every word and deed are of Divine origin and absolutely infallible.

2) It is an established fact widely accepted among Christian circles that the four Gospels, viz Mathew, Luke, Mark and John, were all compiled centuries after Jesus had ascended to Heaven. None of them had so much as even met Jesus, let alone witnessing the events that occurred during his lifetime. No matter how highly inspired these great personalities were, their testimony to these events must be considered to be based on hearsay or third party. By no measure of means can this be considered 'evidence', least of all a basis on which pivotal beliefs are